REMARKS

The Advisory Action dated January 14, 2005 has been received and considered by the Applicant. Claims 1-11 and 14-29 are pending in the present application for invention. The January 14, 2005 Advisory Action states that the Applicant's response to the September 16, 2004 Final Office Action has overcome the rejections to Claims 21, 22, 24, 25, 27 and 28 under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph.

The January 14, 2005 Advisory Action sustains the rejection to Claims 1-11 and 14-20, 23, 26 and 29 under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0118175Al, in the name of Liebenow et al. (hereinafter referred to as <u>Liebenow et al.</u>) in view of U.S. Patent. No. 6,414,671, issued in the name of Gillespie et al. (hereinafter referred to as <u>Gillespie et al.</u>).

The foregoing amendment to the claims has added the features formerly contained within Claims 21, 24 and 27 to independent Claims 1, 3 and 11. Claims 21, 24 and 27 are objected to but indicated as being allowable by the Advisory Action. The Applicant, respectfully, asserts that the combination of <u>Gillespie et al.</u> with <u>Liebenow et al.</u> does not teach or suggest each and every feature of the claims as amended. Specifically, the combination of <u>Liebenow et al.</u> with <u>Gillespie et al.</u> does not provide any teaching or suggestion for providing the means for adjusting thresholds from a predetermined set of thresholds.

The foregoing amendment to Claims 21, 24 and 27 has changed the recitation of those claims to recite subject matter for the slider bar which is used to adjust the thresholds. The combination of <u>Gillespie et al.</u> with <u>Liebenow et al.</u> does not teach or suggest a slider bar which is used to adjust the thresholds..

Applicant is not aware of any additional patents, publications, or other information not previously submitted to the Patent and Trademark Office which would be required under 37 C.F.R. 1.99.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, the Applicant believes that the present application is in condition for allowance, with such allowance being, respectfully, requested.

Respectfully submitted,

James D. Leimbach

Patent Attorney, Reg. No. 34,374

Please address all correspondence

for this case to:

Michael E. Belk
Senior Intellectual Property Counsel
Philips Intellectual Property & Standards
Philips Electronics N.A. Corp.
P.O. Box 3001
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001 USA
914-333-9643

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited this date with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop: RCE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS.

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on: March 16, 2005

(Mailing Date)

Signature:

Person Signing: James D. Leimbach